UNCLASSIFIED

AD 295 625

Reproduced by the

ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

BSD-TDR-62-350

295625

BACKSCATTER FROM INHOMOGENEOUS MEDIA

Prepared by P. J. Lynch

SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES, INC. Redondo Beach, California

6110-7837-RU-000

Contract No. AF 04(694)-1

CATALOGED 2/ /STI

November 1962

7 1963

7 30 K

9

Prepared for

HRADQUARTERS

BALLISTIC SYSTEMS DIVISION

AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

Air Force Unit Post Office, Los Angeles 45, California

Contract No. AF 04(694)-1

Prepared:

P. J. Lynch

Theoretical Physics Department

Approved:

Saul Altshuler

Manager

Theoretical Physics Department

Approved:

R. B. Muchmore

Director

Physical Research Division

QUARTUM PHYSICS LABORATORY
PHYSICAL RESEARCH DIVISION
SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES, INC.
One Space Park
Redondo Beach, California

ABSTRACT

A WKB approximation is used to calculate cross sections for the 180° scattering of scalar and vector waves by a class of spherically symmetric, repulsive potentials. These potentials are such that the corresponding index of refraction has a unique zero. The scalar problem is discussed in the framework of quantum mechanics, and the result is just the classical cross section. Electromagnetic backscatter from a dielectric is found to be three-quarters of the scalar approximation in the extreme geometrical-optics limit.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION		 	 	 	Page 1
II.	THE SCALAR PROBLEM		 	 	 	3
III.	THE INVERSE SQUARE-LAW	POTENTIAL .	 	 	 	n
IV.	THE VECTOR PROBLEM		 	 • • •	 	13
v.	APPROXIMATE EVALUATION	OF INTEGRALS	 	 	 	22
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS					•
APPEND	ıx		 	 	 	28
Footno	tes		 	 	 	30

I. INTRODUCTION

Interest in radar cross sections has encouraged investigations on the backscatter of waves from inhomogeneous media. In general, this is a difficult problem to analyze. Exact solutions are rare, and the Born approximation is worthless when the index of refraction differs significantly from unity. The Schiff approximation is expected to have a wider range of validity, buts its usefulness hinges on the evaluation of a difficult volume integral. In this paper, we consider the simplest spherically symmetric systems to which a "semi-classical" approximation is applicable. Specifically, the index of refraction of such a system is a continuous function of r, and it has a unique zero at r₀.

The scalar-wave problem is studied by investigating the equivalent problem of electron backscatter from repulsive potentials. The correspondence principle is derived for 180° scattering; that is, a WKB scattering amplitude is obtained which gives the correct classical cross section. The classical result is shown to have an upper limit of $\frac{1}{4}$ r₀². In addition, the inverse square-law potential is examined in some detail, for the phase shifts are known exactly, and corrections to the classical result can be derived.

It is known^{3,4} that the problem of electromagnetic scattering from a spherically symmetric dielectric is reducible to the solution of two scalar problems; that is, two radial differential equations must be solved for two sets of phase shifts. For our purpose, the amplitude for vector backscatter is proportional to the difference of the corresponding scalar amplitudes. While difficulties arise because of the zero in the index of refraction,

these scalar amplitudes can be replaced by WKB approximations analogous to the one introduced earlier. This approximation is valid in the extreme geometrical optics limit. Here expressions simplify, with the differential cross section for electromagnetic backscatter reducing to three-quarters of the result predicted on the basis of the scalar wave equation.

II. THE SCALAR PROBLEM

The time-independent scalar wave equation is

$$\left[\nabla^2 + k^2 n^2(r)\right] \Psi(r) = 0,$$
 (2.1)

where n(r) is the (spherically symmetric) index of refraction of the medium, and $2\pi/k$ is the wavelength of the incident wave. The asymptotic scattering solution of Eq. (2.1) is

$$\Psi(\underline{r}) \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} e^{i\underline{k} \cdot \underline{r}} + \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} \quad f(e) \quad ,$$

$$|\underline{k}| = k \qquad ,$$
(2.2)

where 0 is the scattering angle. The differential cross section is related to the angular amplitude f by

$$\delta(\Theta) = |f(\Theta)|^2$$
 (2.3)

We want to evaluate $\mathcal{O}(\pi)$ by geometrical optics. To be more specific, we rewrite Eq. (2.1) in the form

$$\left[\nabla^2 + k^2 - U(r)\right] \Psi(\underline{r}) = 0 . \qquad (2.4)$$

This is the Schrödinger equation provided that

$$k^2 = \frac{2m}{h^2} \quad R \quad , \tag{2.5a}$$

$$U(r) = \frac{2m}{\sqrt{2}} V(r)$$
 (2.5b)

Equations (2.4) and (2.5) represent the scattering of non-relativistic particles of mass m and energy E by a potential V(r). Now the problem is to demonstrate the correspondence principle for backscattering; that is, to let $h \rightarrow 0$ in such a way as to obtain the $O(\pi)$ of classical mechanics.

The scattering amplitude is given by the relation

$$f(\theta) = (2ik)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) \left[\exp(2i\delta_{k}) - 1 \right] P_{k}(\cos \theta)$$
 (2.6)

The \mathcal{L}_{χ} are the phase shifts which each of the elementary partial waves of angular momentum χ has experienced because of the potential V(r). The P_{χ} are Legendre polynomials. The WKB or semi-classical approximation⁵ for scattering is defined by the following steps:

(1). The approximation of δ_{ℓ} by the WKB phase shift

$$\delta_{\ell} = \lim_{R \to \infty} \left\{ \int_{r_1}^{R} dr \left[k^2 - U(r) - \frac{(\ell + \frac{1}{2})^2}{r^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - kR + (\ell + \frac{1}{2}) \pi/2 \right\}, \qquad (2.7)$$

$$k^2 - U(r_1) - (\ell + \frac{1}{2})^2 / r_1^2 = 0$$
 (2.8)

- (2). The replacement of the sum in Eq. (2.6) by an integral over λ .
- (3). The replacement of $P_{\ell}(\cos \theta)$ by a smooth function of ℓ . The approximation in step (1) is applicable to potentials which vary slowly in a wavelength. The result of differentiating Eq. (2.7) with respect to ℓ is

$$\frac{2 d \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{4} = \Theta(L) = \pi - 2 \int_{r_{1}}^{\infty} dr \frac{L}{r^{2} \left[2m(E - V) - \frac{L^{2}}{r^{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$L = h(l + \frac{1}{2})$$
 (2.10)

O(L) is the classical scattering angle for energy E and angular momentum L.

The correspondence principle appears to have been demonstrated only when $L \sin \theta \gg 1$. We are interested in the case $L \sin \theta \to 0$. Using the above WKB prescription, we replace P_0 by

$$P_{\mathbf{j}}(\cos \theta) \simeq \exp(-i\pi l) J_{\mathbf{0}}[(l^2 + l)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\pi - \theta)], \qquad (2.11)$$

a result which is exact when $\theta = \pi$ and λ is an integer. The error in replacing the sum by an integral can be determined in principle from the Euler summation formula

$$K = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k - \int_0^{\infty} dk g(k) , \qquad (2.12)$$

of which the following form is most useful:

$$K \sim \frac{1}{2} (g_0 + g_\infty) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{g_{2i}^2}{(2i)!} \left[g_\infty^{(2i-1)} - g_0^{(2i-1)} \right] + R_{N+1}$$
 (2.13)

The parentheses on the g's refer to the number of derivatives. As $N \longrightarrow \infty$, the remainder R_{N+1} may approach 0. In general, however, the sum over Bernoulli numbers $B_{2^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ will yield an asymptotic series.

When $\theta = \pi$, Eq. (2.6) simplifies to

$$f(\pi) = (2ik)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1) \left[\exp(2i\delta_k) - 1 \right] \exp(-i\pi k)$$
 (2.14)

Since f(x) is assumed to be well-defined, Eq. (2.14) can be written as

$$f(\pi) = (2ik)^{-1} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \exp \left[2i\delta_{l} - (\omega + i\pi)l\right] , \qquad (2.15)$$

where we have used

$$\lim_{\omega \to 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) \exp\left[-(\omega+i\pi)\ell\right] = 0 , \qquad (2.16)$$

The latter equation follows from the completeness relation for Legendre polynomials. It may also be derived from Eq. (2.13), for $K = 2/\pi^2 + i/\pi$, whereas

$$\lim_{\omega \to 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} dl (2l + 1) \exp \left[-(\omega + i\pi)l \right] = -2/\pi^{2} - i/\pi . \qquad (2.17)$$

The limit on ω , a positive quantity, is carried out after summation. Equation (2.15) now becomes

$$f(\pi) = K + f_1(\pi)$$
 , (2.18)

$$f_1(\pi) \equiv (2ik)^{-1} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \int_0^{\infty} dl (2l+1) \exp[2i\delta(l) - (\omega + i\pi)l]$$
. (2.19)

Clearly, the above result will be most useful when K is a small correction; that is, when the replacement of sum by integral is a good approximation. In general, the phase of the summand in Eq. (2.15) changes rapidly with \mathcal{L} , so that $f(\pi)$ will be the result of delicate cancellations between positive and negative terms. For this situation, K will be large. However, if the phase does not change appreciably over a large range of \mathcal{L} 's, the algebraic sign of the terms stays the same, and we get a large contribution to the sum. Hence, K is expected to be small when the phase is stationary for some \mathcal{L}_0 :

$$\frac{2 d\delta (\ell_0)}{d\ell_0} - \pi = 0 . \qquad (2.20)$$

Referring to Eqs. (2.9) and (2.10) for the WKB approximation to the phase shifts, we see that Eq. (2.20) is satisfied when $L_{\rm O}=0$. The implication is that Eq. (2.19) can describe the backscatter of a classical particle with zero angular momentum.

The above suggests that we replace $\delta(\ell)$ in Eq. (2.19) by an expansion of $\delta'(\ell)$ in powers of \hbar . The class of systems for which this makes sense can be determined by examining the potential-dependent term in Eq. (2.7),

$$\frac{2m}{h^2} \int_{r_1(h)}^{R} dr \left[(E - V) - \frac{h^2 ((1 + \frac{1}{2})^2)}{2m r^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} . \qquad (2.21)$$

An expansion about \hbar = 0 implies that the centrifugal potential term is a correction to V. Then the turning point r_1 is approximately r_2 , where

$$E = V(r_0)$$
 . (2.22)

This immediately rules out attractive potentials and repulsive potentials for which E is always greater than V. The simplest remaining situation is a repulsive potential and an incident energy for which there is a single turning point; e.g. a monotonically decreasing potential. Equation (2.22) will be valid for this case if only small ℓ 's are important, and if ℓ >>1. Systems with multiple turning points will be ignored as these involve non-classical barrier penetration.

The Taylor expansion of Eq. (2.7) in powers of h yields

$$\delta'(\ell) = (\ell + \frac{1}{2}) \pi/2 + a/h - \frac{b}{2}h(\ell + \frac{1}{2})^2 + o\left[h^3(\ell + \frac{1}{2})^4\right], \qquad (2.23)$$

where

$$a = \lim_{R \to \infty} \left\{ \int_{r}^{R} dr \left[2m(E - V) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - (2mE)^{\frac{1}{2}} R \right\},$$
 (2.24)

$$b = \int_{r}^{\infty} dr \frac{1/r^2}{\left[2m(E - V)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} , \qquad (2.25)$$

If Eq. (2.23) is substituted for $\delta(l)$ in Eq. (2.19), and if a change of variables, $x^2 = h(l + \frac{1}{2})^2$, is made, the integral becomes

$$f_1(\pi) \simeq p^{-1} \exp(2i\pi/\hbar) \lim_{\omega \to 0} \int_{\hbar/4}^{\infty} dx \times \exp\left[-(\omega + ib)x^2 + 0(\hbar)\right] ,$$
 (2.26)

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{h} \mathbf{k} \quad . \tag{2.27}$$

The convergence factor has been modified for convenience. In the limit $h \rightarrow 0$, Eq. (2.26) simplifies to

$$f^{\circ}(\pi) = (2pib)^{-1} \exp(2ia/\hbar)$$
 (2.28)

The corresponding cross section is obtained from Eqs. (2.3) and (2.28):

$$\delta^{0}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{4p^{2}} \left[\int_{\mathbf{r}_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1/r^{2}}{\left[2m(\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{V})\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right]^{2} . \tag{2.29}$$

We must now show that Eq. (2.29) follows from the classical cross section, namely 6

$$G_{cl}(\Theta) = -\frac{1}{p^2} \frac{L}{\sin \Theta} \frac{dL}{d\Theta}$$
 (2.30)

For a repulsive potential and a single turning point r_1 , the relation between θ and L is

$$x - \theta = 2L \int_{\mathbf{r_1}(L)}^{\infty} \frac{1/r^2}{\left[2m(E - V) - L^2/r^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
 (2.31)

The integral in Eq. (2.31) is greater than zero. Thus, when $\Theta = \pi$, L must be zero. Expansion about L = 0 gives the relations

$$L \xrightarrow{} (2b)^{-1} (\pi - \Theta)$$
 , (2.32)

$$\frac{dL}{d\Phi} \xrightarrow{Q \to \pi} - (2b)^{-1} , \qquad (2.33)$$

where b is defined by Eq. (2.25). By substituting the last two equations in Eq. (2.30), we again obtain Eq. (2.29).

Equation (2.28) applies to the combination of a repulsive potential and a single turning point. A validity condition relating wavelength and potential strength is most easily obtained from Eq. (2.13), the difference between sum and ingertral. Referring to Eq. (2.15), we find

$$g_{\ell} \simeq (2ik)^{-1} (2\ell + 1) \exp \left[2i \delta_{\ell}^{1} - (\omega + i\pi)\ell\right] ,$$
 (2.34)

so that the first-order correction in h (magnitude) is of the form

$$h p^{-1} \exp (2ia/h)$$
 . (2.35)

The ratio of the preceeding factor to Eq. (2.28) gives the following restriction:

$$\int_{\mathbf{r}_{0}}^{\infty} d\mathbf{r} \, \frac{1/\mathbf{r}^{2}}{\left[k^{2} - U(\mathbf{r})\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \int_{0}^{1/\mathbf{r}_{0}} \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{\left[k^{2} - U(1/\mathbf{u})\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} < < 1 \quad . \tag{2.36}$$

It is clear from a graph of the u-integrand that the above integral has a minimum value of $(kr_0)^{-1}$ for repulsive potentials which vanish at

infinity. Thus, we are again led to the conclusion that $kr_0 >> 1$ for the validity of the classical result. The above integral also occurs in the classical cross section, Eq. (2.29). We obtain, therefore, the following upper limit to $\delta_{c,l}(\pi)$:

$$G_{c,\ell}(\pi) = G^{o}(\pi) \le \frac{1}{4k^2} \frac{1}{(kr_o)^{-2}} = \frac{r_o^2}{4}$$
 (2.37)

The form of Eq. (2.37) suggests division by 4π of a geometrical total cross section, πr_0^2 .

The integral in Eq. (2.29) can be done exactly for inverse power-law potentials, $U(r) = \alpha_n r^{-n}$, n>0. Thus, by the substitution $r = r_0 t^{-1}$, we have

$$I = \int_{\mathbf{r}_{0}}^{\infty} d\mathbf{r} \, \frac{1/\mathbf{r}^{2}}{\left[k^{2} - U(\mathbf{r})\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{1}{n(k\mathbf{r}_{0})} \, B(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2}) \quad , \tag{2.38}$$

where B is the Beta function. 9 In terms of Gemma functions, Eq. (2.29)

becomes

$$6^{\circ}(\pi) = \frac{r_0^2}{4\pi} \left[\frac{\left[\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\left[\left(\frac{1}{n} + 1 \right)} \right]^2} \right] \qquad (2.39)$$

For the Coulomb potential, we have $6^{\circ}(\pi) = \frac{1}{16} r_0^{-2}$, $r_0 = \alpha_1/k^2$, while the result for the inverse square law is $6_{\circ}(\pi) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} r_0^{-2}$, $r_0 = \frac{(\alpha_2)^2}{k}$. The backscatter cross section for the inverse square law can be approximated by the classical result when $(\alpha_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} >> 1$. Finally, as $n \to \infty$ in Eq. (2.39), 6° approaches the upper limit of Eq. (2.37).

III. THE INVERSE SQUARE-LAW POTENTIAL

In Section II, we could not write down explicit corrections to $\int_{\lambda}^{0}(\pi)$ because, in general, the error in replacing δ_{λ} by $\delta_{\lambda}^{\dagger}$ is not known. However, the phase shifts are known exactly? for the potential $U = \chi r^{-2}$, and they are equal to the WKB phase shifts:

$$\delta_{1} = \delta_{1}^{\prime} = \pi/2 \left[(1 + \frac{1}{2}) - \left[(1 + \frac{1}{2})^{2} + 3 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \tag{3.1}$$

In addition, the integration in Eq. (2.19) can be carried out exactly, even if Eq. (2.11) is used to extend (approximately) the result to angles close to 180° :

$$f_{1}(\theta) = \frac{1}{21k} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk (2k+1) \exp \left[2i \delta(k) - (\omega + i\pi)k\right] J_{0}[(k^{2} + k)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\pi - \theta)]$$

$$= 1/k \lim_{\omega \to 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \times \exp \left\{-(\omega + i\pi) \left[x^{2} + (\lambda + \frac{1}{2})\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\} J_{0}[x(\pi - \theta)], \quad (3.3)$$

where the substitution $x^2 = l(l+1)$ is made in the last step. Equation (3.3) integrates to 10

$$I_{1}(\Theta) = \frac{-\pi}{k} \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(x^{2} + \frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\pi^{2} - (\pi - \Theta)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]}{\left[\pi^{2} - (\pi - \Theta)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{1 + \frac{1}{2}(x^{2} + \frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\pi^{2} - (\pi - \Theta)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}. (3.4)$$

By multiplying Eq. (3.4) by its complex conjugate and by keeping only the term proportional to \forall , we obtain the classical cross section⁶ for $\Theta \cong \pi$:

$$\left| f(\Theta) \right|^2 = \frac{y}{(k\pi)^2} \left[\left(\frac{\Theta}{\pi} \right) \left(2 - \frac{\Theta}{\pi} \right) \right]^{-2} . \tag{3.5}$$

The ratio $\frac{1}{2}/k^2$ is independent of h.

When $\theta = \pi$, we use Eqs. (2.18) and (3.4) to write the following exact result:

$$f(\pi) = K - (k\pi^2)^{-1} \exp\left[-i\pi(\sqrt[3]{+\frac{1}{4}})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \left[1 + i\pi(\sqrt[3]{+\frac{1}{4}})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]. \tag{3.6}$$

However, as we now show, the asymptotic expansion for K, Eq. (2.13), is valid only when $\chi > 1$. To obtain K, we set

$$g_{\ell} = (2k)^{-1} (2\ell + 1) \exp \left[-(\omega + i\pi) \left[\gamma + (\ell + \frac{1}{2})^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right],$$
 (3.7)

and note that $g_{\infty}^{(2\ell-1)} = 0$, because of the convergence factor ω . Then, Eq. (2.13) yields

$$\exp\left[i\pi(\sqrt[3]{\pm\frac{1}{8}})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]i\pi^{-\frac{1}{6}} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{630}(\sqrt[3]{\pm\frac{1}{8}})^{-1} + o\left[(\sqrt[3]{\pm\frac{1}{8}})^{-2}\right] + i\left[\frac{\pi}{60(\sqrt[3]{\pm\frac{1}{8}})^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\pi}{630}\frac{(1-\pi^{2}/8)}{(\sqrt[3]{\pm\frac{1}{8}})^{3/2}} + o\left[(\sqrt[3]{\pm\frac{1}{8}})^{-5/2}\right]\right]. \tag{3.8}$$

The first three terms of an asymptotic expansion for $\delta(\pi)$ now follow from Eqs. (2.3), (3.6) and (3.8):

$$k^2 \pi^2 \delta(\pi) \sim \delta + \alpha + \beta \delta^{-1} + O(\delta^{-2})$$
, (3.9)

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\pi^2} - \frac{1}{12} - \frac{\pi^2}{180} \simeq -.037 , \qquad (3.10)$$

$$\beta = \frac{11}{75500} x^{\frac{1}{4}} \simeq .014$$
 (3.11)

Equation (3.9) can be interpreted as an expansion in powers of \hbar^2 . A graph of $\delta(\pi)$ versus δ will approach a straight line for large δ , with α as its projected intercept.

IV. THE VECTOR PROBLEM

The preceeding formulation can be applied, in a suitable limit, to the problem of electromagnetic backscatter from an inhomogeneous dielectric $\epsilon(\mathbf{r})$. With the assumption of sinusoidal time-dependence of the fields, the pertinent Maxwell equations are

$$\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{E}) = 0 , \qquad (4.1a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0 , \qquad (4.1b)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{H} \quad , \tag{4.1c}$$

$$\nabla x H = -ikeE . (4.1d)$$

It has been shown by Armush that H is derivable from two scalar functions,

$$\underline{\mathbf{H}} = \nabla \times (\sqrt{\epsilon} \not \delta \mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla \times (\nabla \times (\Psi \mathbf{r})), \qquad (4.2)$$

where p and Ψ satisfy the following partial differential equations:

$$\nabla^2 \Psi + k^2 \epsilon(r) \Psi = 0 , \qquad (4.3)$$

$$\nabla^2 \phi + \left[k^2 \epsilon(\mathbf{r}) - W(\mathbf{r}) \right] \phi = 0 , \qquad (4.4)$$

$$W(r) = \frac{3}{4\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{d\epsilon}{dr}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \frac{d^2\epsilon}{dr^2} . \qquad (4.5)$$

The boundary conditions on Ψ and \emptyset must be such that

$$\stackrel{\mathbf{E}}{=} \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} \widehat{\mathbf{x}} \exp(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{z}) + \underline{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{E}) \frac{1}{r} \exp(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}) .$$
(4.6)

Here, \hat{x} is the initial polarisation and \hat{A} is the vector scattering amplitude. The absolute square of \hat{A} determines the differential cross section.

The radial equations associated with Eq. (4.3) and (4.4) are

$$\frac{d^2}{dr^2} (rR_{\ell}) + \left[k^2 \epsilon(r) - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2}\right] (rR_{\ell}) = 0 , \qquad (4.7)$$

$$\frac{d^{2}}{dr^{2}}(rs_{1}) + \left[k^{2}\epsilon(r) - W(r) - \frac{Q(1+1)}{r^{2}}\right](rs_{1}) = 0, \qquad (4.8)$$

with boundary conditions

$$rR_{\downarrow}$$
, rS_{\downarrow} $r \rightarrow 0$, (4.9)

$$rR_{\ell} \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} \sin \left(kr - \ell \pi/2 + \delta_{\ell}\right) , \qquad (4.10)$$

$$rs_{\downarrow} \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} sin (kr - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) . \tag{4.11}$$

The phase shifts δ_l and η_L determine the scattering.

The scattering amplitude is derived by substituting expansions of the form

$$\sum_{k,m} \alpha_{k,m} R_{\ell} Y_{\ell}^{m} (\Theta, \overline{\alpha}) , \qquad (4.12)$$

for Ψ and \emptyset in Eq. (4.2), and then by using Eqs. (4.1c) and (4.6). In general, $\underline{\mathbb{A}}$ is a complicated function of angles, but it simplifies for the forward and backward directions:

$$41k \underline{A}(0) = \hat{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) \left[\exp(2i\delta_{\ell}) - 1 + \exp(2i\eta_{\ell}) - 1 \right] , \qquad (4.13)$$

$$41k \underline{A}(x) = \hat{x} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l} (2l+1) \left[\exp(2i\delta_{k}) - \exp(2i\eta_{k}) \right] . \tag{4.14}$$

The latter equation may clearly be written in the form

$$2A(\pi) = f_{\omega}(\pi) - f_{d}(\pi) - (2ik)^{-1} \left[\exp(2i\delta_{0}) - \exp(2i\eta_{0}) \right] . \tag{4.15}$$

Here, $f_{\psi,\phi}$ are back-scatter amplitudes for the scalar problems represented by Eq. (4.3) and (4.4), with boundary conditions of the type Eq. (2.2). We derive an approximate expression for $A(\pi)$ by replacing $f_{\psi,\phi}$ by their relevant WKB scattering amplitudes.

Equation (4.3) can be rewritten in Schrödinger form:

$$\nabla^2 \Psi + \left[k^2 - U(r) \right] \Psi = 0 , \qquad (2.4)$$

where

$$\epsilon(r) = 1 - U(r)/k^2$$
 (4.16)

The restrictions on U(r) are the same as those applied to the potentials of Section II; namely, that U is positive-definite and slowly varying in a wavelength, and that $U = k^2$ is satisfied uniquely at $r = r_0$. With this choice, $\epsilon(r_0) = 0$, and $\epsilon(r) \leq 1$; e.g., a plasma. The WKB phase shifts for δ_{ℓ} are then given by Eq. (2.7), and the scattering amplitude by Eq. (2.28). In terms of k and ϵ , we have

$$f_{\psi}^{O}(\pi) = \frac{\exp\left\{2i \frac{\lim_{R \to \infty} \left[\int_{R_{0}}^{R} d\mathbf{r} (\mathbf{k}^{2} \mathbf{\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{k}R\right]\right\}}{2i\mathbf{k}\left[\int_{R_{0}}^{R} d\mathbf{r} \frac{1/\mathbf{r}^{2}}{(\mathbf{k}^{2} \mathbf{\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right]} . \tag{4.17}$$

Equation (4.17) requires for its validity that $kr_0 >> 1$.

The derivation of the analogous expression for f_{ϕ} is more subtle, for it is not true that one merely replaces $k^2\epsilon$ in Eq. (4.17) by $k^2\epsilon$ - W. Instead, we will show that

$$f_{\beta}^{O}(\pi) = \frac{\exp\left\{2i \frac{\lim_{R \to \infty} \left[\int_{\rho_{O}}^{R} dr(k^{2} \epsilon - \frac{l_{1}}{3} W)^{\frac{1}{2}} - kR\right]\right\}}{2ik\left[\int_{\rho_{O}}^{\infty} dr \frac{1/r^{2}}{(k^{2} \epsilon - \frac{l_{1}}{3} W)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right]},$$
(4.18)

$$k^2 \epsilon(\rho_0) - \frac{1}{3} W(\rho_0) = 0$$
 (4.19)

An understanding of this modification is arrived at by examining the nature of W(r). In particular, this "effective potential" is singular at $r = r_0$, for ϵ is zero there. An expansion of Eq. (4.5) about r_0 gives

$$W \simeq \frac{3}{4} (r - r_0)^{-2}$$
 , (4.20)

which implies that W drops, in magnitude, from infinity to order k^2 in the distance of a wavelength. It is convenient to partially rewrite W in terms of U by use of Eq. (4.16):

$$W(r) = \frac{3}{4} (\epsilon k^2)^{-2} [U'(r)]^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon k^2)^{-1} U''(r) . \qquad (4.21)$$

The primes denote derivatives of U. The function U(r) will change appreciably from its value at r_0 in some characteristic distance $R(\gg \frac{1}{K})$. At this relative distance, ϵ is of order unity, and as an order of magnitude estimate of Eq. (4.21) reveals, W << U. Thus, Eq. (4.8) represents scattering by a long-range potential U and a short-range potential W, the latter rising very steeply near r_0 , where it presents an impenetratable sphere. The form of W for $r < r_0$ is immaterial since the incident wave cannot penetrate this region. The above remarks are most easily illustrated in the case $U = \sqrt[3]{r^{-2}}$, where

$$W(r) = 3r_0^2 (r^2 - r_0^2)^{-2} , kr_0 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} . \qquad (4.22)$$

The WKB formalism cannot be expected to hold in the region where W is varying rapidly. The turning point ρ_0 must be enough wavelengths from r_0 such that W appears as a slowly varying perturbation to the incoming scalar wave. To show that this is possible, we evaluate the fractional change of W in a wavelength for the example of Eq. (4.22); that is,

$$\frac{\left|W'(\rho_{0})\right|}{k W(\rho_{0})} = \frac{\mu_{\rho_{0}}/k}{(\rho_{0} + r_{0})(\rho_{0} - r_{0})}.$$
 (4.23)

To determine $\rho_{_{\rm O}}$ for this example, we write Eq. (4.19) in the form

$$(\rho_0^2 - r_0^2)^3 = 4k^{-2} r_0^2 \rho_0^2 . (4.24)$$

A first approximation is obtained by replacing $\rho_{_{\rm O}}$ on the right-hand side of Eq. (4.24) by $r_{_{\rm O}}$:

$$\rho_0 \approx r_0 \left[1 + \frac{1}{2}(4/8)^{1/3}\right]$$
 (4.25)

This step is clearly justified for $\begin{cases} \delta \end{cases}$ very large. The difference between \end{cases}_0 and \end{cases}_0 is

$$k(\rho_0 - r_0) = (\chi/4)^{1/6}$$
, (4.26)

so Eq. (4.23) becomes

$$\frac{|W'(p_0)|}{W(p_0)} \propto (kr_0)^{-1/3}$$
 (4.27)

Thus, the left-hand side of Eq. (4.27) can be made small. The factor to the right of the proportionality sign will appear again in Section V as an expansion parameter in the perturbation treatment of Eq. (4.18).

In order to derive the WKB phase shifts, we observe that the singularity at r=0 is responsible for the factor $(1+\frac{1}{2})^2$ in Eq. (2.7), as opposed to the familiar 1/(1+1). This was first proved by langer 1, who introduced the following approximation to the wave function:

$$\omega_{\ell}(\mathbf{r}) = \left[\left(\frac{k_{\ell}}{6} \right) \left(\frac{J_{1}}{Q_{\ell}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[J_{1/3}(J_{\ell}) + J_{-1/3}(J_{\ell}) \right] , \qquad (4.28)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{\ell}(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{r}} d\mathbf{r}' \, Q_{\ell}(\mathbf{r}') \quad , \tag{4.29}$$

$$Q_{\downarrow}(\rho_{\downarrow}) = 0 \qquad (4.30)$$

The J's are Bessel functions. Equation (4.28) satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2w_{\ell}}{dr^2} + \left[Q_{\ell}^2(r) - Q_{\ell}(r) \right] w_{\ell} = 0 , \qquad (4.31)$$

$$q_{\chi}(r) = -5/36 \frac{q_{\chi}^2}{g_{\chi}^2} - \frac{1}{4q_{\chi}^2} (q_{\chi}^2)^{"} + 5/16 \left[\frac{(q_{\chi}^2)^{"}}{q_{\chi}^2} \right]^2$$
 (4.32)

This approximation is valid when the WKB approximation is valid ($q \in \mathbb{Q}^2$), and, because $q(\rho_0)$ is finite, it may be used throughout an interval containing the turning point.

We tentatively take the following form for Q_{ℓ} :

$$Q_1^2 = k^2 \epsilon(r) - cW(r) - (1 + \frac{1}{2})^2 / r^2$$
, (4.33)

where c is a constant. For discussion purposes, Eq. (4.8) can be thought of as representing electron scattering by potentials U and W. Then, the

wave number k is proportional to h^{-1} , W is independent of h, and q_{ℓ} is independent of h to first order. Thus, in the limit $h \longrightarrow 0$, the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (4.33) will be dominant except near r_0 , where it goes to zero while W becomes infinite. Equation (4.33) clearly takes the following form near $r = r_0$:

$$Q_1^2 \xrightarrow{r \to r_0} -c_1(r - r_0)^{-2} -c_2(r - r_0)^{-1}$$
, (4.34)

where c_1 , c_2 are constants which depend on c. By substituting Eq. (4.34) into Eq. (4.32), and by noting that

$$\int_{\ell} (\mathbf{r}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r} \to \mathbf{r}_0} \mathbf{i} \sqrt{\mathbf{c}_1} \log (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) , \qquad (4.35)$$

we find

$$q_{\ell}(r) \xrightarrow{r \to r_0} -\frac{1}{4}(r - r_0)^{-2} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{c_2}{c_1}(r - r_0)^{-1}$$
 (4.36)

Thus, the differential equation for ω_{k} has the following form near r_{o} :

$$\omega_{\frac{1}{2}}" + \left[\frac{-(c_{1} - \frac{1}{4})}{(r - r_{0})^{2}} - \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \frac{(c_{1} - \frac{1}{4})}{(r - r_{0})} \right] \omega_{\frac{1}{2}} = 0 .$$
 (4.37)

Also, Eq. (4.8) takes the form

$$\frac{d^{2}(r s_{\ell})}{dr^{2}} + \left[-3/4 \frac{1}{(r-r_{0})^{2}} - \frac{\alpha}{4(r-r_{0})} \right] (r s_{\ell}) = 0 , \qquad (4.38)$$

$$\alpha = U''(\mathbf{r}_0) \left[U'(\mathbf{r}_0) \right]^{-1} . \tag{4.39}$$

A comparison of Eqs. (4.37) and (4.38) yields the results,

$$\begin{cases}
c_1 = 1 \\
c_2 = 1/3 \alpha
\end{cases}$$
(4.40)

These values are consistent with the choice c = 4/3 in Eq. (4.33). Thus, Eq. (4.29) becomes

$$\mathcal{G}_{\ell}(x) = \int_{\rho_{\ell}}^{x} dx' \left[k^{2} \epsilon - \frac{\mu}{3} W - (\ell + \frac{1}{2})^{2} / x'^{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{4.41}$$

If the asymptotic forms of the Bessel functions for S_{ℓ} large and real are substituted into Eq. (4.26), the asymptotic form of ω_0 is obtained:

$$\omega_{\ell}(r) \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} \sin (kr - k\pi/2 + \gamma_{\ell}')$$
, (4.42)

$$\eta'_{1} = \lim_{R \to \infty} \left[\mathcal{J}_{1}(R) - kR + (1 + \frac{1}{2}) \pi/2 \right] . \tag{4.43}$$

As a check, we note that the required solution to Eq. (4.38) approaches zero at $r_{\rm o}$ as

$$r s_{\downarrow} \xrightarrow{r \to r_0} (r - r_0)^{3/2} \qquad (4.44)$$

For $r < \rho_1$ and $|\mathcal{I}_{\hat{k}}|$ large, Eq. (4.28) takes the form 12

$$\omega_{\ell} \xrightarrow{r \to r_{0}} (Q_{\ell})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\left|\mathcal{I}_{\ell}\right|) \qquad (4.45)$$

From Eqs. (4.35) and (4.40), we have

$$\exp(-|\mathcal{G}_{\downarrow}|) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r} \to \mathbf{r}_{0}} \exp\left[\log(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{0})\right] = (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{0}) . \tag{4.46}$$

The factor $(R_{\perp})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ contributes $(r-r_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and ω_{\parallel} approaches r_0 from the right as $(r-r_0)^{3/2}$, in agreement with Eq. (4.44).

The 1-dependence of the $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{'}$ is the same as in Eq. (2.7). Hence, the approximate scattering amplitude $f_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\circ}$, obtained by substituting Eq. (4.43) into Eq. (2.19), must have the same form as (4.17), but with $k^2 \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Q}}$

 $k^2 \epsilon - \frac{1}{3} W$. This is just Eq. (4.18). From the standpoint of quantum mechanics, k is proportional to h^{-1} , and W is independent of h. Thus, it might be argued that the term $\frac{1}{3} W$ must be dropped for consistency. This argument is erroneous, again because W is singular at r_0 . In Section V, it is shown that the amplitudes f_{ψ}° and f_{ϕ}° have equal magnitudes, but differing phases in the limit $h{\to}0$.

Finally, we investigate the error in replacing the sum over & by an integral. The difference between the exact amplitudes is given by Eq. (4.15), so the first-order correction as determined by Eq. (2.12) and (2.13) is

$$(4ik)^{-1} \left[\exp(2i\delta_0) - \exp(2i\eta_0) \right]$$
 (4.47)

But Eq. (4.47) has the same form as the second term in Eq. (4.15). In semi-classical terms, Eq. (4.47) is of order \hat{h} and must be dropped. Therefore, the MKB approximation for $A(\pi)$ takes the form

$$2A^{\circ}(\pi) = f_{\psi}^{\circ}(\pi) - f_{d}^{\circ}(\pi)$$
 (4.48)

V. APPROXIMATE EVALUATION OF INTEGRALS

The integrations in Eq. (4.18) can be carried out approximately in the extreme geometrical-optics limit. We first consider the phase factor

$$= 2 \lim_{R \to \infty} \left[\int_{r_0}^{R} dr (k^2 \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} - kR \right] + 2 I , \qquad (5.2)$$

where

$$I = -\int_{r_0}^{\rho_0} dr (k^2 \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{\rho_0}^{\infty} dr (k^2 \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[(1 - \frac{1}{4}) \frac{W}{k^2 \epsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right] . \qquad (5.3)$$

The first term in Eq. (5.2) is common to both f_{ψ}° and f_{ϕ}° , and, hence, can be ignored when deriving cross sections. If the second term of Eq. (5.3) is integrated by parts, the boundary term is zero at the upper limit and is the negative of the first term at the lower limit, by Eq. (4.19). Therefore, we are left with

$$I = -\int_{0}^{\infty} dr \frac{d}{dr} \left[(1 - 4/3 \frac{W}{k^{2} \epsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \int_{r_{0}}^{r} dr' (k^{2} \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \qquad (5.4)$$

It will be shown that the main contributions to I come from values of r near ρ_{Ω} . To emphasize this feature, we change variables to

$$y^2 = 4/3 W(k^2 \epsilon)^{-1} = (k^6 \epsilon^3)^{-1} (U')^2 A^2(r)$$
, (5.5)

$$A(r) = \left[1 + 2/3 k^{2} \epsilon(U^{n}) (U^{1})^{-2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} , \qquad (5.6)$$

where the second equality of Eq. (5.5) follows from Eq. (4.21). The derivative of y is

$$\frac{dy}{dr} = -\frac{3}{2} (k^5 e^{5/2})^{-1} (U^{\circ})^2 A(r) B(r) , \qquad (5.7)$$

$$B(r) = 1 + 2/3 (k^{2} \epsilon) [(U')^{2} A(r)]^{-1} \frac{d}{dr} [U' A(r)] . \qquad (5.8)$$

The minus sign in Eq. (5.7) arises from the convention that y be positive for monotonically decreasing U(r). From the definition of y^2 , we see that $r = r_0$, ρ_0 , ∞ correspond to $y = \infty$, 1, 0, respectively. In terms of y, Eq. (5.4) can be written as

$$I = -2/3 \int_0^1 dy \ y(1 - y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_y^{\infty} dy'(y')^{-2} \ f(y') , \qquad (5.9)$$

where

$$f(y) = \frac{A\left[r(y)\right]}{B\left[r(y)\right]} . (5.10)$$

The inverse transformation, r as a function of y, is obtained, in part, by a Taylor expansion of Eq. (5.5) about $r = r_0$:

$$y^2 = [-U'(r_0)]^{-1} (r - r_0)^{-3} [1 - \frac{1}{6}\alpha(r - r_0) +],$$
 (5.11)

where α is given by Eq. (4.39). As a first approximation, we set $r = r_o$ inside the brackets:

$$r - r_0 \simeq \left[- U'(r_0) y^2 \right]^{-1/3}$$
 (5.12)

An improved result can now be obtained by successive approximations, the first of which leads to

$$y^2 \simeq \left[- U'(r_0) \right]^{-1} (r - r_0)^{-3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{6} \lambda^2 y^{-2/3} \right) ,$$
 (5.13)

where

$$\lambda^{2} = \mathbf{U}^{*}(\mathbf{r}_{0})[\mathbf{U}^{*}(\mathbf{r}_{0})]^{-4/3}$$
 (5.14)

Thus, if $y = x \wedge 3$, Eq. (5.12) is a good approximation to the inverse transformation.

The quantity \uparrow is our perturbation parameter. When \uparrow <<1, the integral of Eq. (5.9) can be evaluated approximately. The magnitude of \uparrow is easily estimated in the case of inverse power laws, for U'(r_o) is of order $U(r_o)/r_o = k^2/r_o$, while U"(r_o) has magnitude k^2/r_o^2 . Thus, \uparrow has magnitude $(kr_o)^{-1/3}$. In general, the condition is $(kR)^{-1/3}$ >>1, where R is the range over which U changes appreciably. We have seen in Section IV that this restriction insures the slow variation of the effective potential W near the turning point. Hence, the size of \uparrow determines the applicability of the WKB formulation.

Since harphi is small, Eq. (5.12) holds very well for $y \ge harphi$. This value of y corresponds to the following value of r:

$$\frac{(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_0)}{\mathbf{r}_0} \simeq \left[-\lambda^2 \, \mathbf{r}_0^3 \, \mathbf{U}'(\mathbf{r}_0) \right]^{-1/3} \qquad (5.15)$$

An estimate of the magnitude of the right-hand side of Eq. (5.15) yields $(kr_0)^{-1/9} << 1$. Thus, when $r_0 < r < r_1$, a function of r can be expanded about r_0 and then converted, in first order, to a function of y by Eq. (5.12). Application of this procedure to Eq. (5.10) yields

$$f(y) \simeq 1 + \frac{1}{9} \alpha (r - r_0) \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{9} \lambda^2 y^{-2/3}, \quad y \ge \lambda$$
 (5.16)

We now rewrite Eq. (5.9) as the sum of two integrals, the first of which is

$$I_1 = -2/3 \int_{\lambda}^{1} dy \ y(1 - y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{y}^{\infty} dy'(y')^{-2} \ f(y') \qquad (5.17)$$

Since $y' \ge \lambda$, we can use the relation of Eq. (5.16):

$$I_1 \approx -2/3 \int_{\lambda}^{1} dy \ y(1-y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{y}^{\infty} dy'(y')^{-2} \left[1-\frac{1}{9} \ \lambda^2(y')^{-2/3}\right],$$
 (5.18)

$$= - \pi/3 + 2/3 \ happy + o(happy) \ . \tag{5.19}$$

The second integral,

$$I_2 = -2/3 \int_0^{h} dy \ y(1-y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_y^{\infty} dy' \ (y')^{-2} \ f(y') \quad , \tag{5.20}$$

can be carried out by ignoring the variation of $(1 - y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ with y. This introduces an error in the final result of $O(h^3)$. The simplified integral can be integrated by parts:

$$I_{2} = -1/3 y^{2} \int_{y}^{\infty} dy' (y')^{-2} f(y') \begin{vmatrix} y = h \\ y = 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$-1/3 \int_{0}^{h} dy f(y) . \tag{5.21}$$

The boundary term is -1/3 \(\lambda\) at the upper limit, by Eq. (5.16), and it is zero at the lower limit provided W(r) goes to zero at infinity faster than r^{-1} . The remaining integral is trivial when transformed back to the r - variable, so

$$I_{2} = -\frac{1}{3}h - \frac{1}{3} \int_{1}^{\infty} d\mathbf{r} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \left(k^{5}e^{5/2}\right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{v}^{2}\right)^{2} \mathbf{A}^{2}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3}h - \frac{1}{3} \left[-\mathbf{v}^{2}(\mathbf{r}_{1})\right] \left[-k^{3}e^{3/2}(\mathbf{r}_{1})\right]^{-1} . \qquad (5.23)$$

If the second term of Eq. (5.23) is expanded about r_0 , we obtain, with the help of Eq. (5.15),

The sum of Eqs. (5.19) and (5.24) yields

$$I = -\pi/3 + O(\lambda^2) . (5.25)$$

The remaining integral in Eq. (4.18) can be evaluated in a similar way, the derivation being left to an appendix. The result is

$$J = \int_{\rho_0}^{\infty} d\mathbf{r} \, \frac{1/\mathbf{r}^2}{(\mathbf{k}^2 \epsilon - \frac{1}{3} \, \mathbf{W})^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \left[\int_{\mathbf{r}_0}^{\infty} d\mathbf{r} \, \frac{1/\mathbf{r}^2}{(\mathbf{k}^2 \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \left[1 + O(h) \right] \quad . \tag{A.12}$$

Thus, Eq. (4.18) becomes

(21k)
$$J f_6^{\circ}(\pi) = \exp(i \wedge)$$
 , (5.26)

with \wedge and J given by Eqs. (5.2), (5.25) and (A.12). If Eqs. (4.17) and (5.26) are substituted into Eq. (4.48), the following approximation is obtained in the limit of very small \wedge :

$$|A^{\circ}(\pi)| = \frac{\left[1 - \exp(-2i\pi/3)\right]}{\left[4k \int_{r_0}^{\infty} dr \frac{1/r^2}{(k^2 \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right]}$$
 (5.27)

The cross section is the square of Eq. (5.27):

$$6^{\circ}(\pi) = \frac{3}{4} \quad 6_{\psi}(\pi) \quad .$$
 (5.28)

The quantity G_{ψ} is the absolute square of Eq. (4.17); that is, it is the cross section associated with a scalar wave equation of the form Eq. (4.3) with boundary conditions given by Eq. (2.2).

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank Dr. John R. Nodvik for many helpful discussions.

APPENDIX

The integral,

$$J = \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \, \frac{1/r^2}{(k^2 \epsilon - \frac{1}{3} W)^{\frac{1}{2}}} , \qquad (A.1)$$

can be written as

$$J = \int_{\Gamma_0}^{\infty} dr \, \frac{1/r^2}{(k^2 \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \int_{\Gamma_0}^{\rho_0} dr \, \frac{1/r^2}{(k^2 \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \int_{\rho_0}^{\infty} dr \, \frac{1/r^2}{(k^2 \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \, \left[(1 - \frac{1}{4})^3 \, \frac{W}{k^2 \epsilon} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \, ds.$$
 (A.2)

We designate the three integrals as J_1 , J_2 , J_3 , respectively. The second integral in Eq. (A.2) can be evaluated, to first order, by expanding r about r_1 :

$$J_2 \simeq (r_0)^{-2} \left[- U'(r_0) \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{r_0}^{r_0} dr (r - r_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (A.3)

$$\simeq 2(r_0)^{-2} \left[-U^{\dagger}(r_0) \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\rho_0 - r_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{A.4}$$

By remembering that $r = \rho_0$ corresponds to y = 1, we can use Eq. (5.12) to simplify Eq. (A.4),

$$J_2 \simeq 2(r_0)^{-2} [U'(r_0)]^{-2/3} \equiv 2g$$
 (A.5)

To evaluate J_3 , we split up the range of integration into two parts, $\rho_0 \le r \le r_1$ and $r_1 \le r \le \infty$, with r_1 defined by Eq. (5.15). An order of magnitude estimate for the latter range gives

$$J_3^{(2)} \leq \left[O(\lambda^2) \right] J_1 \qquad (A.6)$$

The remaining segment of J_3 may be transformed to the y-variable defined by Eq. (5.5):

$$J_3^{(1)} = 2/3 \int_{\lambda}^{1} dy y^{-4/3} g(y) \left[(1 - y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right] ,$$
 (A.7)

where

$$g(y) = (U')^{-2/3} r^{-2} A^{1/3}(r) B^{-1}(r)$$
 (A.8)

Equations (5.6) and (5.8) define A and B respectively. Since $y \ge n$, we can expand the right-hand side of Eq. (A.8) about $r = r_0$, and then use Eq. (5.12). To first order, g(y) is just the constant g of Eq. (A.5). Further, in first approximation, the lower limit in Eq. (A.7) can now be set equal to zero, with the result

$$J_3^{(1)} \simeq 2/3 \, \mathrm{g} \, \int_0^1 \, \mathrm{d}y \, y^{-4/3} \, \left[(1 - y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right]$$
 (A.9)

The order of magnitude of g can be estimated from Eq. (A.5), in the manner of Section IV:

$$\mathbf{g} = 0[(\mathbf{kr}_0)^{-4/3}] = 0!(\lambda^4)$$
 (A.10)

In Section II, a minimum was established for J_1 ; that is,

$$J_1 \ge (kr_0)^{-1} = O(\lambda^3)$$
 (A.11)

We assume that the actual value of J_1 has the same order of magnitude as its minimum. Then, Eq. (A.6) can be neglected relative to Eqs. (A.5) and (A.9), and Eq. (A.2) becomes

$$J = \left[\int_{r_0}^{\infty} dr \, \frac{1/r^2}{(k^2 \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \, \right] \, \left[1 + O(h) \right] \qquad (A.12)$$

FOOTNOTES

- 1. D. S. Saxon, <u>Lectures on the Scattering of Light</u>, Scientific Report No. 9, Dept. of Meteorology, UCLA, 1955.
- 2. L. I. Schiff, Phys. Rev. 104, 1481 (1956).
- 3. P. J. Wyatt, Phys. Rev. 127, 1837 (1962).
- 4. D. Armush, Space Technology Laboratory Report No. 6110-7466-RU-001 (unpublished).
- 5. K. Ford and J. A. Wheeler, Ann. Phys. 7, 259 (1959).
- 6. H. Goldstein, <u>Classical Mechanics</u> (Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Cambridge, Mass., 1950), p. 82, 91-92.
- 7. N. F. Mott and H. S. Massey, <u>Theory of Atomic Collisions</u> (Oxford University Press, London, 1949), 2nd ed., p. 120.
- 8. K. Knopp, <u>Theory and Application of Infinite Series</u> (Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1928), English ed., p. 520.
- 9. P. M. Morse and H. Feshback, <u>Methods of Theoretical Physics</u> (McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1953), Vol. I, p. 425.
- 10. A. Erdelyi, ed., <u>Tables of Integral Transforms</u> (McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1954), Vol. II., p. 9.
- 11. R. E. Langer, Phys. Rev. 51, 669 (1937).

UNCLASSITION		UNICHASSIFIED	UNITABLITIES
Space Technology Laboratories, Inc., One Space Park, Bedondo Beach, California. MACHOGERER FROM IMPROGRANCES SERIA, by P. J. Lynch. MACHOGERER 1962. 30 p. (6110-7637-81-00) MUP-THE-62-350 (Construct No. AF 04(694)-1) Unclassified Report A WEB approximation is used to calculate cross sections for the 180° sestiaring of scalar and vector waves by a class of spherically symmetric, regulative potentials. These potentials are such that the curresponding index of refrection has a unique sero. The scalar problem is discussed in the finaments of quantum mechanics, and the result is just the classical cross section. Electronic is specificated to be there-quarters of the scalar approximation in the extreme geometrical-optics limit.		Space Technology Laboratories, Inc., One Space Park, Bedomb Beach, Chilfornia. Backschrift From Immondmence Herit, by P. J. Lynch. Browner 1962. 30 p. (6110-7637-80-00) BED-THE-62-350 (Contract Bo. AF Ok(69k)-1) Unclassified Report A WES agrecularation is used to calcurate cross sections for the 180° scattering of scalar and vector waves by a class of spherically symmetric, repalsive potentials. These potentials are such that the currengonding index of refrection has a unique sero. The scalar problem is discussed in the Ehmswork of quantum mechanics, and the result is just the classical cross section. Electronsments of the scalar approximation in the ethres-quarters of the scalar approximation in the ethres-quarters of the scalar approximation in the extreme geometrical-optics limit.	
UNCLASGIFTED	URSAMOVID	UNICASSUTED	UNCLASSICITION
Space Technology Laboratories, De., One Space Rark, Bedrando Beach, Childrenia. BACHCHETER FROM IMPROMEMBROUS METLA, by P. J. Lynch. Browner 1962. 30 p. (6110-7637-80-000) MID-THE-66-350 (Conferent No. A. Oh(69h)-1) Unclassified Report A WES expressionation is used to calculate cross sections for the 180° sections of calculate cross sections for the 180° sectioning of collar and sections for the 180° sectionally symmetric, regulative potentials. These potentials are such that the corresponding induce of refraction has a unchanges sero. The scalar problem is discussed in the Shammork of question problem is discussed in the Shammork of question problem is discussed in the Shammork of question medicalist. Electromagnetic healthcaster forms a section. Electromagnetic healthcaster forms a section. Electromagnetic healthcaster of the scalar approximation in the extreme geometrical-optics limit.		Space Technology Laboratories, Drc., One Space Park, Redamb Read, California. ANTICOLNE FROM INCOMMENSING SHILL, by P. J. Lynch. Borenher 1962. 30 p. (6110-7837-810-00) BED-THE-62-350 (Contract No. As Ok(69k)-1) Unclassified Report A WEN experimention is used to calculate cross sections for the 1809 sections for the 1809 sections for the 1809 sections of salar and vector waves by a class of spherically specific, regulative potentials. These potentials are such that the corresponding familia of refraction has a unique zero. The scalar problem is discussed in the financial of quantum maximals, and the result is just the classical cross section. Electromagnetic healthcarter of the scalar approximation in the stream geometrical-optics limit.	

UNCLASSITTED	UNCLABRITUD	ULI VESTI PER	UNCLASSIFYED
Space fechnology Laboratories, Inc., One Space Rark, Redende Beach, California. MACHIGER FROM INERMONEROUS MEDIA, by F. J. Lynch. Movember 1962. 30 p. (6110-7837-MU-000) MED-THE-68-350 (Contract No. M. O4(694)-1) Unclassified Report A WES approximation is used to calculate cross sections for the 180° sostering of scalar and vector waves by a class of spherically symmetric, regulative potentials. These potentials are such that the corresponding index of refraction has a unique sero. The scalar problem is discussed in the Shamstork of quantum mechanics, and the result is just the classical cross section. Electromagnetic hecksowiter from a disactric is found to be three-quarters of the scalar approximation in the extreme geometrical-optics limit.		Space Technology Laboratories, Inc., One Space Rurt, Bedondo Beach, Chilfornia. Bedondo Beach, Chilfornia. Bedondo Beach, Chilfornia. Browniar 1962. 30 p. (6110-7637-80-00) BED-TEE-68-350 (Contract No. AF Ok(69k)-1) Unclassified Report A MED approximation is used to calculate cross sections for the 180° scattering of scalar and vector waves by a class of opherically symmetric, regulate potentials. These potentials are such that the corresponding index of refraction has a unique serve. The scalar problem is discussed in the financut of quartum mechanics, and the result is just the classical cross section. Electro- magnetic backmoster from a dialectric is found to be three-quarters of the scalar approximation in the extreme geometrical-optics limit.	
UNCLASSORTION	UNCLASSIFIED	UNITABELITIED	UNCLASSIVIED
Record Technology Laboratories, Dc., One Space Park, Bedrado Beach, California. MACHOLOGYER FOOM INCHOMENIES HERITA, by F. J. Lymb. MED-THE-68-350 (Contract No. AF Ok(69A)-1) Unclassified Neport A MED suproximation is used to calculate cross sections for the 180° sentering of scalar and vector waves by a class of spherically generality tymestric, requisity potentials. Here promitting are such that the corresponding index of refrection has a underse sero. The scalar problem is discussed in the flamenous of quantum mechanics, and the result is just the classical cross section. Electromagnetic bedescrier from a dislectric is found to be three-partners of the scalar suproximation in the extreme geometrical-optics limit.		Appear Technology Laboratories, Dc., One Space Park, Backedo Dank, Childrands. MACHICLETE FACE INDUCTURENCE METL, by P. J. Lynch. Bovember 1962. 30 p. (6110-7637-49-00) MED-FEM-62-30 (Contract Mo. AF Ok(69k)-1) Unclassified Negort A WED approximation is used to calculate cross sections for the 180° sestiering of scalar and vector waves by a class of spherically appearing, regulates potentials are such that the corresponding index of refraction has a unalges sero. The scalar problem is discussed in the Emmerch of generals seching as the result is just the classical cross section. Electronagentic bediesester from a disloctric is found to be three-quarters of the scalar approximation in the extress geometrical-optics limit.	

			UNCLABILITIED		
_	, p		_	mation is used to calculate cross the 180° seathering of scalar and y a class of spherically symmetric, matals. These potentials are such sepositing index of refrection has a sepositing index of refrection has a of quantum mechanics, and the result lassical cross section. Electro- contex from a dialectric is found to here of the scalar approximation in commetrical-optics limit.	
France Stechanican Laboratories, Tree, One States Brit.	Medication Pacch, California. MACHICATER FROM INCROMENDED MEDIA, by P. J. Lynch. Machicater 1962. 30 p. (6110-7637-NU-COO) MED-THE-62-350 (Construct No. AF Ch(694)-1) Unclassified Report A WED approximation is used to calculate cross sections for the 180° scattering of scalar and vector waves by a class of spherically symmetric, regulative potentials. These potentials are such that the corresponding index of refrection has a unique serv. The scalar problem is discussed in	the financial of question mechanics, and the result is just the classical roses section. Electromagnetic backers of the scalar aggregation in the actumes geometrical-cytics limit.	Space Technology Laboratories, Inc., One Space Park Bedoméo Beach, California. MACHOGERE FROM IMCROMENSINGER, by P. J. Lynch. Movember 1962. 30 p. (6110-7837-WU-000) MGD-7837-88-62-370 (Contract No. AF Ok(694)-1) Unclassified Report	A WEB approximation is used to calculate cross sections for the 180° seattering of scalar and vector waves by a class of spherically symmetric, repulsive potentials. These potentials are such that the corresponding index of refrection has a unique zero. The scalar problem is discussed in the financoth of quantum mechanics, and the result is just the classical cross section. Electromagnitic bediscrite for two a dislaterite is found to be three-quarters of the scalar approximation in the extreme geometrical coptice limit.	
	UNITABSUTIED				UNCLANTIED
	Space Technology Laboratories, Dc., One Space Park, Machael Bank, California. MACHOCATHE FROM INDEMNESSED BELLA, by P. J. Lynch. Bowmher 1962. 30 p. (6110-7837-ML-GO) MONTH-68-350 (Contract No. AF Ok(69k)-1) Unclassified Report A WED spacedmention is used to calculate cross sections for the 1800 sestimating of scalar and vector waves by a class of spherically symmetric, replaint potentials. These potentials are such that the corresponding index of refraction has a	the figurates of quartes medicates, and the result is just the classical cross section. Electro- magnetic bediscreter from a dislocaric is found to be three-quarters of the scalar approximation in the extreme geometrical—cytics limit.	Space Technology Laboratories, Inc., One Space Park, Reduction Beach, California, and California. MACHOGENE FOR THE CONCENSION META, by P. J. Lynch. MOVEMBER 1962. 30 p. (6110-7637-80-00) MOVEMBER 266-30. Omerical P. A. Oh(60h)-1) Included Percei.	35475348939	

UNCLASSIFUED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSUFIED
Space Technology Laboratories, Inc., One Space Park, Badondo Baach, California. MACHOLTER FROM INCOCKENDUS METLA, by P. J. Lynch. Brownber 1962. 30 p. (6110-7837-RU-000) MED-FIRE-62-550 (Oustract No. AF OA(694)-1) Unclassified Report A WED seprendimation is used to calculate cross sections for the 180° seattering of scalar and vector waves by a class of spherically symmetric, repairing potentials. These profestials are such that the corresponding index of refraction has a unique sero. The scalar problem is discussed in the flumework of quantum sachmaics, and the result is just the classical cross section. Electromagnetic backscatter from a dislocatic is found to be three-quarters of the scalar sparociamtion in the extreme geometrical-optics limit.		Space Technology Laboratories, Inc., One Space Park, Bedosdo Beach, California. MACROCATER FROM INCREMENTON MEDIA, by P. J. Lynch. Browning 1962. 30 p. (6110-7837-RU-000) MED-THE-62-350 (Contract No. A. Ok(69k)-1) Unclassified Report A WED approximation is used to calculate cross sections for the 180° scattering of scalar and vector waves by a class of spherically symmetric, repulsive protentials. These potentials are such that the cort the 180° scattering of refraction has a unique zero. The scalar problem is discussed in the Themsouth of quantum mechanics, and the result is just the classical cross section. Electronsequently cross section. Sincetro- sequentic behaviourize from a dislactric is found to be three-garters of the scalar approximation in the estress geometrical-optics limit.	,
UNITABLITIES	UNCLASSITYTED	CHILT 788 CT LINE CHILT CHILD CHILD	GEL/JIBOY CHIN
 Recon Technology Laboratories, Dc., One Space Park, Reduced Banch, California. MANDOMERS 7001 IMMENDEROUS MEMIL, by P. J. Lynch, Bornaber 196s. 30 p. (610-7637-81-500) MD-THE-68-500 (Confront Do. Ar OA(69A)-1) Unclassified Report A WE suproximation is used to calculate cross sections for the 180° seatesting of scalar and vector waves by a class of substituting a seatesting to reconstitute the corresponding limits of vectorial has a unique zero. The scalar problem is discussed in the fluentest of general mechanics, and the result is just the classical cross section. Mass a unique zero, the classical cross section. Electromagnitic balanceter from a delicitude is found to be three-quarters of the scalar suproximation in the extreme generationlogation limit.		Space Technology laboratories, Dc., Ose Space Purt, Bedondo Bach, California. Machicle From Impossible SHILA, by P. J. Lynch. Machicle From Impossible SHILA, by P. J. Lynch. Machicle From Impossible SHILA, by P. J. Lynch. MACH approximation is used to calculate cross sections for the 180° emitted of emine and vector waves by a class of sministrals are such that the corresponding issue of refrection has a unique sero. The scalar problem is discussed in the financial of corresponding issue presting and the result is just the classical cross section. Electromagnetic heckscape problem and section. Electromagnetic heckscape of the scalar supportantion in the entires geometrical control in the entires geometrical control.	